

“EAT MOR’ CHICK’IN”

This debate over chicken sandwiches has basically polarized a nation. The Black community should be up in arms over people protesting against chicken. At issue however is heterosexuality versus homosexuality. In one corner we have the business Chick’fil-a and in the other GLAAD. The chicken sandwich people tend to think that they have a right to support the idea that marriage is a biblical principle that is reserved for one woman and one man. The GLAAD organization believes that marriage is between man and man or woman and woman.

The business in question is a privately held company, operated by the same family since the 1960’s. Before the GLAAD movement we can assume that they relied on this principle as they don’t operate their business on Sunday because they choose to honor the biblical principle of a day of worship in accordance with their beliefs. However, they don’t list themselves as a Christian business. I couldn’t find any literature which promotes the idea that homosexuals are not allowed to enter the establish and purchase a chicken sandwich, nor did I find anything against the hiring of homosexuals, and I tried.

Dan Cathy, president of the organization is quoted as saying: Chick-fil-A is “very much supportive of the family,” according to Dan Cathy, president of the popular fast food chain. That is, “the biblical definition of the family unit,” he said.. “We don’t claim to be a Christian business,” Cathy said. “But as an organization we can operate on biblical principles.” An expert in business, not affiliated with the company says: “As a privately held company, they have the right to do that,” he said.. Cathy goes on to say: “We are a family-owned business, a family-led business, and we are married to our first wives.”

Last year, protesters accused Chick-fil-A of supporting an anti-gay agenda with donations, which the company has steadily denied. The company could not immediately be reached for comment Wednesday stated in an article. A report from LGBT advocacy group Equality Matters concluded that Chick-fil-A donated more than \$3 million between 2003 and 2009 to Christian groups that oppose homosexuality. In 2010 alone, the company gave nearly \$2 million to such causes, according to the report.

Being pro-family in the biblical terms, as I see it, is in short, being anti-gay marriage by the standards of the homosexual community, without any provocation or any other overt actions needed. Just as being Anti-abortion is to Pro-Abortion. The thing is, if the Pro-abortionist have a right to practice abortion with the right of not being blown up by some crazy mad Anti-abortionist, should someone selling chicken sandwiches be able to do so without being ridiculed, or protested against. If you don’t want to patronize the establishment, don’t give him your dollars. It is a private business.

In doing further research, I feel as if the object of the GLAAD organization should be a group known as the Family Research Council or FRC. In their mission statement which I happened to read, they specifically state some issues that directly confront the issues of homosexuality. This is where the focus should be as they refer to the mental imbalance of GLAAD members and alike.

From my own personal experiences with the homosexual community, I can say that although a LGBT group such as Equality Matters state that they push for equality. The homosexuals such as Mark Ojakian, Michael Lawlor, Andrew McDonald and others who

happen to be public officials and not private business people violated my rights to equality, and GLAAD, nor Equality Matters attempt to correct their bigotry, biasness, and discriminatory practices even though the destruction they cause is contrary to the law. This to me is hypocritical to say the least.

The theories presented by FRC are only being proven by the actions of Mark Ojakian, Michael Lawlor, and Andrew McDonald currently of the Malloy Administration in Connecticut. As the laws they violate are not ambiguous and they are in fact "Lawyers" we can assert that their destructive acts are intentional, as we know they are malicious and discriminatory.. Maybe they should act according to the law of the land even if not by the laws of the bible which Mr. Cathy interprets to his own subjectivity. For certain if they as homosexuals violate mandatory principles of law, it only gives rise to the mission statement in the FRC document that they are unstable individuals and that promotes a broader range of instability in their dealings with others.

If the homosexual community wants people to respect them, they should like wise respect others, especially at the law. They should be the last to discriminate, as they, as an organization are asking people to be "willfully" and without the law requiring such, to be more tolerant. First, GLAAD and Equality Matters should address Ojakian, Lawlor, and McDonald. In other words, "sweep around your own front door." Then, you can attempt to help your neighbor get the beam from his eye, if you think he or she is not seeing things clearly, that's biblical and not offensive at all.

For certain, I know that the Connecticut General Statutes says in section 5-142(a), that I should have continued to receive my benefits, 5-169(i) states that I should have been allowed to retire at my election, and the United States Constitution states that I should have been treated equally to similarly situated white people, and at the bottom of all of this were and are homosexuals whom violated the laws and destroyed my family. This is my belief of what I wanted my definition of family to be and the homosexual community didn't respect that, even when the law required it. Now, they want people to whole heartedly respect their idea or opinion of what they think family is.

Finally, I think that the homosexual community should be fair to others, and then maybe people will entertain their idea of what fairness should be. Until they respect Black people as they do White people, they have no right to criticize anyone. Not to mention a private business, while they as homosexuals are working as public officials for the greater community in which they are suppose to service "equally." This argument they present against a chicken sandwich maker loses its' traction if by nothing else but in the way I know homosexuals that have mistreated me. So, although I don't eat PORK, CHICKEN, OR BEEF meats. I must say with both hands grasping a CHIC-FIL-A chicken sandwich, UNTIL THE COWS COME HOME(meaning that, until you, the homosexual community within the State of Connecticut government do what is right by Anthony McKnight Sr.), **MOOOOOOOOOOOOOO....."EAT MOR' CHICK'IN'".**

Just a thought, and please don't quote me on this: {Maybe if lesbians would buy roosters and listen to them crow in the morning, they would here "Cock-a doodle-do" and be more apt to want to be with a man. Maybe, if they allowed only natural child birth, gay men will find their way back to the vagina. You know, like the turtles finding their way back to the beaches where they are hatched to reproduce, or the salmon finding their way back to where they were created to spawn a new generation. } Just a thought.

But, whatever the issues, think and practice Peace, Love, and tolerance one to another, not war. And for God sake don't blame the chicken, they catch enough hell as it is. Don't you Agree? Maybe, the issue with the Chick fil-A Company is that a Pig and a Frog can never make a baby. That maybe consistent with their religious views. Just putting it out there.

The best advice comes from the now deceased spokesperson for the company: the company's intent going forward was "to leave the policy debate over same-sex marriage to the government and political arena." Chick-fil-A planned on "not proactively being engaged in the dialog" on the issue, Perry wrote in an email with the statement.

The company's policy, according to the statement he sent, "is to treat every person with honor, dignity and respect – regardless of their belief, race, creed, sexual orientation or gender." Seriously, I hope that GLAAD and others join the company on this line of dialogue.